arXiv:1508.07747v2 [math-ph] 3 Jun 2016

EIGENFUNCTION EXPANSIONS FOR THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH AN INVERSE-SQUARE POTENTIAL

A.G. SMIRNOV

Dedicated to Professor I.V. Tyutin on the occasion of his 75th birthday

Abstract. We consider the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation $-f'' + q_\kappa f = Ef$ on the positive half-axis with the potential $q_\kappa(r) = (\kappa^2 - 1/4)r^{-2}$. For each complex number ϑ, we construct a solution $u^\kappa_\vartheta(E)$ of this equation that is analytic in κ in a complex neighborhood of the interval $(-1,1)$ and, in particular, at the "singular" point $\kappa = 0$. For $-1 < \kappa < 1$ and real ϑ, the solutions $u^\kappa_\vartheta(E)$ determine a unitary eigenfunction expansion operator $U_{\kappa,\vartheta}\colon L_2(0,\infty) \to L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta})$, where $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ is a positive measure on $\mathbb{R}$. We show that every self-adjoint realization of the formal differential expression $-\partial_r^2 + q_\kappa(r)$ for the Hamiltonian is diagonalized by the operator $U_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ for some $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. Using suitable singular Titchmarsh-Weyl m-functions, we explicitly find the measures $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ and prove their continuity in κ and ϑ.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to eigenfunction expansions connected with the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$-\partial_r^2 f(r) + \frac{\kappa^2 - 1/4}{r^2} f(r) = Ef(r), \quad r > 0, \tag{1}$$

where κ and E are real parameters. It is easy to see that the function $f(r) = r^{1/2} J_\kappa(E^{1/2} r)$, where J_κ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order κ, is a solution of (1) for every $E > 0$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ (this follows immediately from the fact that J_κ satisfies the Bessel equation). These solutions can be used to expand square-integrable functions on the positive half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0,\infty)$. More precisely, given $\kappa > -1$ and a square-integrable complex function ψ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ that vanishes for large r, we can define the function $\hat\psi$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ by setting

$$\hat\psi(E) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{r} J_\kappa(\sqrt{E} r)\psi(r)\, dr, \quad E > 0. \tag{2}$$

The map $\psi \to \hat\psi$ up to a change of variables then coincides with the well-known Hankel transformation [1] and induces a uniquely determined unitary operator in $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Since the development of a general theory of singular Sturm-Liouville problems by Weyl [2], this transformation has been used by many authors to illustrate various approaches to eigenfunction expansions for this kind of problem [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

If $\kappa \geq 1$, then transformation (2) is the unique eigenfunction expansion associated with (1) up to normalization of eigenfunctions. On the other hand, for $|\kappa| < 1$,

---

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger equation, inverse-square potential, self-adjoint extension, eigenfunction expansion, Titchmarsh-Weyl m-function.

a one-parametric family of different expansions can be constructed using solutions of (1) (see Chap. 4 in [4]). The reason for this ambiguity is that the formal differential expression for the Hamiltonian

$$-\partial_r^2 + \frac{\kappa^2 - 1/4}{r^2} \tag{3}$$

does not uniquely determine the quantum-mechanical problem for $|\kappa| < 1$ and admits various self-adjoint realizations in $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ that yield different eigenfunction expansions. In [9], all self-adjoint realizations of (3) were characterized using suitable asymptotic boundary conditions and the corresponding eigenfunction expansions were explicitly found.

In both [4] and [9], the cases $0 < |\kappa| < 1$ and $\kappa = 0$ were treated separately and eigenfunction expansions for $\kappa = 0$ could not be obtained from those for $0 < |\kappa| < 1$ by taking the limit $\kappa \to 0$. This situation is not quite satisfactory from the physical standpoint. In particular, self-adjoint operators associated with (3) can be used to construct self-adjoint realizations of Aharonov-Bohm Hamiltonian [10], in which case zero and nonzero κ correspond to integer and noninteger values of the dimensionless magnetic flux through the solenoid. Hence, the existence of a well-defined limit $\kappa \to 0$ is necessary to ensure the continuous transition between integer and noninteger values of the flux in the Aharonov-Bohm model. Here, we propose a parametrization of self-adjoint realizations of (3) and corresponding eigenfunction expansions that is continuous in κ on the interval $(-1, 1)$ (and, in particular, at $\kappa = 0$).

We now formulate our main results. Let λ denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be the space of all smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with compact support. Given a λ-a.e.[1] defined function f on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we let $[f]$ denote the equivalence class of f with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$ (i.e., the restriction of the measure λ to $\mathbb{R}_+$). For every $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, differential expression (3) naturally determines the operator $\check{h}_\kappa$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ whose domain $D_{\check{h}_\kappa}$ consists of all elements $[f]$ with $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{\check{h}_\kappa} = \{[f] : f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)\}, \\ &\check{h}_\kappa[f] = [-f'' + q_\kappa f], \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here, q_κ denotes the potential term in (3),

$$q_\kappa(r) = \frac{\kappa^2 - 1/4}{r^2}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{5}$$

The operator $\check{h}_\kappa$ is obviously symmetric and hence closable. The closure of $\check{h}_\kappa$ is denoted by h_κ,

$$h_\kappa = \overline{\check{h}_\kappa}. \tag{6}$$

The self-adjoint extensions of h_κ (or, equivalently, of $\check{h}_\kappa$) can be naturally interpreted as self-adjoint realizations of formal expression (3) (cf. Remark 5 below).

For any $z, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the function $u^\kappa(z)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ by the relation[2]

$$u^\kappa(z|r) = r^{1/2+\kappa} \mathcal{X}_\kappa(r^2 z), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{7}$$

---

[1] Throughout the paper, a.e. means either "almost every" or "almost everywhere."

[2] For brevity, we let $u^\kappa(z|r)$ denote the value of the function $u^\kappa(z)$ at a point r: $u^\kappa(z|r) = (u^\kappa(z))(r)$.

where the entire function $\mathcal{X}_\kappa$ is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_\kappa(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2^\kappa}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n\zeta^n}{\Gamma(\kappa+n+1)n!2^{2n}}, \quad \zeta\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{8}$$

The function $\mathcal{X}_\kappa$ is closely related to Bessel functions: for $z\neq 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{X}_\kappa(\zeta) = \zeta^{-\kappa/2}J_\kappa(\zeta^{1/2}). \tag{9}$$

Because J_κ satisfies the Bessel equation, it follows that

$$-\partial_r^2 u^{\pm\kappa}(z|r) + q_\kappa(r)u^{\pm\kappa}(z|r) = zu^{\pm\kappa}(z|r), \quad r\in\mathbb{R}_+, \tag{10}$$

for every $\kappa\in\mathbb{C}$ and $z\neq 0$.[3] By continuity, this also holds for $z=0$. In particular, $u^{\pm\kappa}(E)$ are solutions of spectral problem (1) for every $\kappa, E\in\mathbb{R}$.

Given a positive Borel measure σ on $\mathbb{R}$ and a σ-measurable complex function g, we let $\mathcal{T}_g^\sigma$ denote the operator of multiplication by g in $L_2(\mathbb{R},\sigma)$.[4] If g is real, then $\mathcal{T}_g^\sigma$ is self-adjoint. For $\kappa > -1$, we define the positive Radon measure[5] $\mathcal{V}_\kappa$ on $\mathbb{R}$ by the relation

$$d\mathcal{V}_\kappa(E) = \frac{1}{2}\Theta(E)E^\kappa\,dE, \tag{11}$$

where Θ is the Heaviside function, i.e., $\Theta(E)=1$ for $E\geq 0$ and $\Theta(E)=0$ for $E<0$. Let $L_2^c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ denote the subspace of $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ consisting of all its elements vanishing λ-a.e. outside some compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

It is well known (see, e.g., [5, 6, 9]) that the operator h_κ is self-adjoint and can be diagonalized by Hankel transformation (2) for $\kappa\geq 1$. In terms of functions $u^\kappa(z)$, this result can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $\kappa > -1$ and the measure $\mathcal{V}_\kappa$ on $\mathbb{R}$ be defined by* (11). *Then there is a unique unitary operator $U_\kappa\colon L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)\to L_2(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{V}_\kappa)$ such that*

$$(U_\kappa\psi)(E) = \int_0^\infty u^\kappa(E|r)\psi(r)\,dr, \quad \psi\in L_2^c(\mathbb{R}_+),$$

for $\mathcal{V}_\kappa$-a.e. E. The operator $U_\kappa^{-1}\mathcal{T}_\iota^{\mathcal{V}_\kappa}U_\kappa$, where ι is the identity function on $\mathbb{R}$ (i.e., $\iota(E)=E$ for all $E\in\mathbb{R}$), is a self-adjoint extension of h_κ that coincides with h_κ for $\kappa\geq 1$.

By (7) and (9), we have $u^\kappa(E|r) = E^{-\kappa/2}r^{1/2}J_\kappa(E^{1/2}r)$, $r\in\mathbb{R}_+$, for every $E>0$. The operator U_κ hence coincides with transformation (2) up to normalization of eigenfunctions. We note that $h_\kappa = h_{|\kappa|}$ for all $\kappa\in\mathbb{R}$ and h_κ is therefore diagonalized by $U_{|\kappa|}$ for all real κ such that $|\kappa|\geq 1$. If $0\leq\kappa<1$, then $U_\kappa^{-1}\mathcal{T}_\iota^{\mathcal{V}_\kappa}U_\kappa$ is the Friedrichs extension of h_κ (see [11]).

We now turn to parametrizing all self-adjoint extensions of h_κ in the case $-1<\kappa<1$. Let

$$\mathscr{O} = \{\kappa\in\mathbb{C} : \kappa\neq\pm1,\pm2,\ldots\}.$$

For $\kappa\in\mathscr{O}$ and $\vartheta, z\in\mathbb{C}$, we define the function $u_\vartheta^\kappa(z)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ by setting

$$u_\vartheta^\kappa(z) = \frac{u^\kappa(z)\sin(\vartheta+\vartheta_\kappa) - u^{-\kappa}(z)\sin(\vartheta-\vartheta_\kappa)}{\sin\pi\kappa}, \quad \kappa\in\mathscr{O}\setminus\{0\}, \tag{12}$$

---

[3] Here and hereafter, we assume that the function q_κ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by (5) for all $\kappa\in\mathbb{C}$.

[4] More precisely, $\mathcal{T}_g^\sigma$ is the operator in $L_2(\mathbb{R},\sigma)$ whose graph consists of all pairs (φ_1,φ_2) such that $\varphi_1,\varphi_2\in L_2(\mathbb{R},\sigma)$ and $\varphi_2(E) = g(E)\varphi_1(E)$ for σ-a.e. E.

[5] We recall that a Borel measure σ on $\mathbb{R}$ is called a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}$ if $\sigma(K)<\infty$ for every compact set $K\subset\mathbb{R}$.

and

$$u^0_\vartheta(z|r) = \lim_{\kappa\to 0} u^\kappa_\vartheta(z|r) = \\ = u^0(z|r)\cos\vartheta + \frac{2}{\pi}\left[\left(\log\frac{r}{2}+\gamma\right)u^0(z|r) - \sqrt{r}\,\mathcal{Y}(r^2 z)\right]\sin\vartheta, \quad r\in\mathbb{R}_+, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\vartheta_\kappa = \frac{\pi\kappa}{2}, \tag{14}$$

the entire function $\mathcal{Y}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{Y}(\zeta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n c_n}{(n!)^2 2^{2n}}\zeta^n, \quad c_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{j},$$

and $\gamma = \lim_{n\to\infty}(c_n - \log n) = 0,577\ldots$ is the Euler constant.[6]

Given $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, we set $R_\alpha = \{z\in\mathbb{C} : z = re^{i\alpha} \text{ for some } r\geq 0\}$ and

$$\mathbb{C}_\alpha = \mathbb{C}\setminus R_\alpha. \tag{15}$$

Hence, $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$ is the complex plane with a cut along the ray R_α.

The next statement shows that, in spite of its piecewise definition, the quantity $u^\kappa_\vartheta(z|r)$ is actually analytic in all its arguments.

Lemma 2. *There is a unique analytic function F in the domain $\mathscr{O}\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}_\pi$ such that $F(\kappa,\vartheta,z,r) = u^\kappa_\vartheta(z|r)$ for every $\vartheta, z\in\mathbb{C}$, $\kappa\in\mathscr{O}$, and $r\in\mathbb{R}_+$.*

The proof of Lemma 2 is given in Appendix A.

For every $\kappa\in\mathscr{O}$ and $\vartheta, z\in\mathbb{C}$, equality (10) also holds for $u^\kappa_\vartheta(z)$ in place of $u^{\pm\kappa}(z)$ (this obviously follows from (12) for $\kappa\in\mathscr{O}\setminus\{0\}$. By Lemma 2, we can take the limit $\kappa\to 0$ and conclude that the same holds for $\kappa = 0$).[7]

Further, for every $\kappa\in(-1,1)$ and $\vartheta\in\mathbb{R}$, we define a positive Radon measure $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ as follows. If $0<|\kappa|<1$, then we set

$$\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta} = \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\kappa,\vartheta}, & \vartheta\in[-|\vartheta_\kappa|, |\vartheta_\kappa|]+\pi\mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{\pi\sin\pi\kappa|E_{\kappa,\vartheta}|}{2\kappa\sin(\vartheta+\vartheta_\kappa)\sin(\vartheta-\vartheta_\kappa)}\delta_{E_{\kappa,\vartheta}} + \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\kappa,\vartheta}, & \vartheta\in(|\vartheta_\kappa|, \pi-|\vartheta_\kappa|)+\pi\mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

where ϑ_κ is defined by (14), the positive Radon measure $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$d\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E) = \\ = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Theta(E)\sin^2\pi\kappa}{E^{-\kappa}\sin^2(\vartheta+\vartheta_\kappa) - 2\cos\pi\kappa\sin(\vartheta+\vartheta_\kappa)\sin(\vartheta-\vartheta_\kappa) + E^\kappa\sin^2(\vartheta-\vartheta_\kappa)}\,dE \tag{17}$$

and $\delta_{E_{\kappa,\vartheta}}$ is the Dirac measure at the point

$$E_{\kappa,\vartheta} = -\left(\frac{\sin(\vartheta+\vartheta_\kappa)}{\sin(\vartheta-\vartheta_\kappa)}\right)^{1/\kappa}. \tag{18}$$

---

[6] To compute the limit of $u^\kappa_\vartheta(z|r)$ as $\kappa\to 0$, we must apply L'Hôpital's rule and use the equality $\Gamma'(1+n)/\Gamma(1+n) = c_n - \gamma$ (see formula (9) in Sec. 1.7.1 in [12]).

[7] Alternatively, we can express $u^0_\vartheta(z|r)$ in terms of the Bessel functions J_0 and Y_0 by means of the equality $\pi Y_0(\zeta) = 2\left(\gamma+\log\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)J_0(\zeta) - 2\mathcal{Y}(\zeta^2)$ (see formula (33) in Sec. 7.2.4 in [12]) and use the Bessel equation.

For $\kappa = 0$, the measure $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ is defined by taking the limit $\kappa \to 0$ in formulas (16)–(18). This yields

$$\mathcal{V}_{0,\vartheta} = \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{0,\vartheta}, & \vartheta \in \pi\mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{\pi^2|E_{0,\vartheta}|}{2\sin^2\vartheta}\delta_{E_{0,\vartheta}} + \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{0,\vartheta}, & \vartheta \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

where

$$E_{0,\vartheta} = -e^{\pi\cot\vartheta} \tag{20}$$

and the positive Radon measure $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{0,\vartheta}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$d\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{0,\vartheta}(E) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Theta(E)}{(\cos\vartheta - \pi^{-1}\log E\sin\vartheta)^2 + \sin^2\vartheta}dE. \tag{21}$$

The next theorem describes self-adjoint extensions of h_κ for $-1 < \kappa < 1$ in terms of their eigenfunction expansions.

Theorem 3. *Let $-1 < \kappa < 1$. For every $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a unique unitary operator $U_{\kappa,\vartheta}\colon L_2(\mathbb{R}_+) \to L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta})$ such that*

$$(U_{\kappa,\vartheta}\psi)(E) = \int_0^\infty u^\kappa_\vartheta(E|r)\psi(r)\,dr, \quad \psi \in L^c_2(\mathbb{R}_+),$$

for $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$-a.e. E. The operator

$$h_{\kappa,\vartheta} = U^{-1}_{\kappa,\vartheta}\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}}_\iota U_{\kappa,\vartheta},$$

where ι is the identity function on $\mathbb{R}$, is a self-adjoint extension of h_κ. Conversely, every self-adjoint extension of h_κ is equal to $h_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ for some $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. Given $\vartheta, \vartheta' \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $h_{\kappa,\vartheta} = h_{\kappa,\vartheta'}$ if and only if $\vartheta - \vartheta' \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$.

For $\vartheta = \vartheta_\kappa$, we have $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta} = \mathcal{V}_\kappa$ and $u^\kappa(z) = u^\kappa_\vartheta(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and the operator $U_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ therefore coincides with the Hankel transformation U_κ.

The expansions described by Theorem 3 have the advantage that neither the eigenfunctions $u^\kappa_\vartheta(E)$ nor the spectral measures[8] $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ have any discontinuities at $\kappa = 0$. This follows from Lemma 2 and the next theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let φ be a continuous function or a bounded Borel function on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support. Then $(\kappa,\vartheta) \to \int \varphi(E)\,d\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E)$ is respectively a continuous function or a Borel function on $(-1,1)\times\mathbb{R}$ that is bounded on $[-\alpha,\alpha]\times\mathbb{R}$ for every $0 \le \alpha < 1$.*

Our main results are Theorems 3 and 4. We also give a new proof of Theorem 1 based on locally defined singular m-functions (see below).

To prove Theorems 1 and 3, we use a recently developed variant of the Titchmarsh-Weyl-Kodaira theory [6, 8]. In those papers, a generalization of the notion of the Titchmarsh-Weyl m-function was proposed that is applicable not only to problems with a regular endpoint but also to a broad class of Schrödinger operators with two singular endpoints. Using such singular m-functions leads to a notable simplification in the treatment of eigenfunction expansions in comparison with the general theory [13, 5] based on matrix-valued measures (but we note that the results in [6, 8] for eigenfunction expansions can be easily derived from Kodaira's general approach [13]; see Remark 16 below).

---

[8] In this paper, the term "spectral measure" always refers to a certain positive measure on $\mathbb{R}$ whose precise definition is given in Proposition 14. This usage differs from that adopted in [10], where this term was applied to projection-valued measures in a Hilbert space.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we give the general theory concerning self-adjoint extensions of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators and their eigenfunction expansions. The main statement in that section, Proposition 14, is similar to Theorem 3.4 in [8], but unlike the latter gives a local version of the formula for the spectral measures. This allows using different m-functions for different regions of the spectral parameter. In Sec. 3, we give a proof of Theorem 1 illustrating this local approach to finding spectral measures and establish Theorem 3. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.

2. One-dimensional Schrödinger operators

In this section, we recall basic facts [5, 14, 15] concerning self-adjoint extensions of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators and briefly describe the approach to eigenfunction expansions developed in [6, 8]. A distinctive feature of the subsequent exposition is that it uses the notion of a boundary space (see Definition 6 below) that can be viewed as a formalization of the concept of a self-adjoint boundary condition. Using boundary spaces allows treating the limit point and limit circle cases on equal footing whenever possible, which makes the presentation of results clearer.

Let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$, $\lambda_{a,b}$ be the restriction to (a,b) of the Lebesgue measure λ on $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ be the space of all complex continuously differentiable functions on (a,b) whose derivative is absolutely continuous on (a,b) (i.e., absolutely continuous on every segment $[c,d]$ with $a < c \leq d < b$). Let q be a complex locally integrable function on (a,b). Given $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we let $l_{q,z}$ denote the linear operator from $\mathcal{D}$ to the space of complex $\lambda_{a,b}$-equivalence classes such that

$$(l_{q,z}f)(r) = -f''(r) + q(r)f(r) - zf(r) \tag{22}$$

for λ-a.e. $r \in (a,b)$ and set

$$l_q = l_{q,0}.$$

For every $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $l_{q,z}f = l_q f - z[f]$, where $[f] = [f]_{\lambda_{a,b}}$ is the $\lambda_{a,b}$-equivalence class of f. For every $c \in (a,b)$ and all complex numbers z, ζ_1, and ζ_2, there is a unique solution f of the equation $l_{q,z}f = 0$ such that $f(c) = \zeta_1$ and $f'(c) = \zeta_2$. This implies that solutions of $l_{q,z}f = 0$ constitute a two-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{D}$. The Wronskian $W_r(f,g)$ at a point $r \in (a,b)$ of any functions $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$ is defined by the relation

$$W_r(f,g) = f(r)g'(r) - f'(r)g(r). \tag{23}$$

Clearly, $r \to W_r(f,g)$ is an absolutely continuous function on (a,b). If f and g are such that $r \to W_r(f,g)$ is a constant function on (a,b) (in particular, this is the case when f and g are solutions of $l_{q,z}f = l_{q,z}g = 0$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$), then its value is denoted by $W(f,g)$. It follows immediately from (23) that the identities

$$W_r(f_1,f_2)W_r(f_3,f_4) + W_r(f_1,f_3)W_r(f_4,f_2) + W_r(f_2,f_3)W_r(f_1,f_4) = 0, \tag{24}$$

$$W_r(f_1f_2, f_3f_4) = f_1(r)f_3(r)W_r(f_2,f_4) + W_r(f_1,f_3)f_2(r)f_4(r) \tag{25}$$

hold for any $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $r \in (a,b)$.

In the rest of this section, we assume that q is real. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_q = \{f \in \mathcal{D} : f \text{ and } l_q f \text{ are both square-integrable on } (a,b)\}.$$

A $\lambda_{a,b}$-measurable complex function f is said to be left or right square-integrable on (a,b) if respectively $\int_a^c |f(r)|^2\,dr < \infty$ or $\int_c^b |f(r)|^2\,dx < \infty$ for any $c \in (a,b)$. The subspace of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of left or right square-integrable on (a,b) functions f such that $l_q f$ is also respectively left or right square-integrable on (a,b) is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_q^l$ or $\mathcal{D}_q^r$. We obviously have $\mathcal{D}_q = \mathcal{D}_q^l \cap \mathcal{D}_q^r$. It follows from (22) by integrating by parts that

$$\int_c^d ((l_{q,z}f)(r)g(r) - f(r)(l_{q,z}g)(r))\,dr = W_d(f,g) - W_c(f,g)$$

for every $f,g \in \mathcal{D}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and $c,d \in (a,b)$. This implies the existence of limits $W_a(f,g) = \lim_{r\downarrow a} W_r(f,g)$ and $W_b(f,g) = \lim_{r\uparrow b} W_r(f,g)$ respectively for every $f,g \in \mathcal{D}_q^l$ and $f,g \in \mathcal{D}_q^r$. Moreover, it follows that

$$\langle l_q f, [g]\rangle - \langle [f], l_q g\rangle = W_b(\bar{f},g) - W_a(\bar{f},g) \tag{26}$$

for any $f,g \in \mathcal{D}_q$, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the scalar product in $L_2(a,b)$.

For any linear subspace Z of $\mathcal{D}_q$, let $L_q(Z)$ be the linear operator in $L_2(a,b)$ defined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{L_q(Z)} = \{[f] : f \in Z\}, \\ &L_q(Z)[f] = l_q f, \quad f \in Z. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

We define the minimal operator L_q by setting

$$L_q = L_q(\mathcal{D}_q^0), \tag{28}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_q^0 = \{f \in \mathcal{D}_q : W_a(f,g) = W_b(f,g) = 0 \text{ for any } g \in \mathcal{D}_q\}. \tag{29}$$

By (26), the operator $L_q(Z)$ is symmetric if and only if $W_a(\bar{f},g) = W_b(\bar{f},g)$ for any $f,g \in Z$. In particular, L_q is a symmetric operator. Moreover, L_q is closed and densely defined, and its adjoint L_q^* is given by

$$L_q^* = L_q(\mathcal{D}_q) \tag{30}$$

(see Lemma 9.4 in [14]). If T is a symmetric extension of L_q, then L_q^* is an extension of T^* and hence of T. In view of (30), this implies that T is of the form $L_q(Z)$ for some subspace Z of $\mathcal{D}_q$.

Remark 5. Self-adjoint operators of the form $L_q(Z)$ can be naturally viewed as self-adjoint realizations of the differential expression $-d^2/dr^2 + q$. If $L_q(Z)$ is self-adjoint, then equality (30) and the closedness of L_q imply that $L_q(Z)$ is an extension of L_q because $L_q(\mathcal{D}_q)$ is an extension of $L_q(Z)$. Therefore, the self-adjoint realizations of the expression $-d^2/dr^2 + q$ are precisely the self-adjoint extensions of the minimal operator L_q.

Definition 6. We say that a linear subspace X of $\mathcal{D}_q^l$ is a left boundary space if

1. if $W_a(\bar{f},g) = 0$ for any $f,g \in X$ and
2. if $g \in X$ whenever $g \in \mathcal{D}_q^l$ satisfies the equality $W_a(\bar{f},g) = 0$ for all $f \in X$.

Replacing $\mathcal{D}_q^l$ with $\mathcal{D}_q^r$ and a with b, we obtain the definition of a right boundary space.

Definition 7. If $W_a(f,g)=0$ for any $f,g\in\mathcal{D}_q^l$, then q is said to be in the limit point case (l.p.c.) at a. Otherwise q is said to be in the limit circle case (l.c.c.) at a. Similarly, q is said to be in the l.p.c. at b if $W_b(f,g)=0$ for any $f,g\in\mathcal{D}_q^r$ and to be in the l.c.c. at b otherwise.

Clearly, q is in the l.p.c. at a or b if and only if $\mathcal{D}_q^l$ or $\mathcal{D}_q^r$ is the respective unique left or right boundary space. Given $f\in\mathcal{D}_q^l$ or $f\in\mathcal{D}_q^r$, we set

$$\mathcal{D}_{q,f}^l=\{g\in\mathcal{D}_q^l : W_a(\bar{f},g)=0\},\quad \mathcal{D}_{q,f}^r=\{g\in\mathcal{D}_q^r : W_b(\bar{f},g)=0\}. \tag{31}$$

For every $E\in\mathbb{R}$, we let $S_{q,E}^l$ and $S_{q,E}^r$ denote the respective sets of all nontrivial real elements f of $\mathcal{D}_q^l$ and $\mathcal{D}_q^r$ such that $l_{q,E}f=0$.

The next proposition reformulates well-known results concerning self-adjoint extensions of L_q (see, e.g., Sec. 9.2 in [14]) in the language of boundary spaces.

Proposition 8. *Let q be a real locally integrable function on (a,b). Then the following statements hold:*

1. *Let X and Y respectively be left and right boundary spaces. Then the operator $L_q(X\cap Y)$ is a self-adjoint extension of L_q.*
2. *Let $L_q(X\cap Y)=L_q(\tilde{X}\cap\tilde{Y})$ for some left boundary spaces X and $\tilde{X}$ and right boundary spaces Y and $\tilde{Y}$. Then we have $X=\tilde{X}$ and $Y=\tilde{Y}$.*
3. *Let $E\in\mathbb{R}$ and $f\in S_{q,E}^l$ or $f\in S_{q,E}^r$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{q,f}^l$ or $\mathcal{D}_{q,f}^r$ is respectively a left or right boundary space.*
4. *Let $z\in\mathbb{C}$. Then q is in l.c.c. at a or at b if and only if every $f\in\mathcal{D}$ such that $l_{q,z}f=0$ is respectively left or right square-integrable on (a,b).*
5. *If q is in l.p.c. either at a or at b, then every self-adjoint extension of L_q is equal to $L_q(X\cap Y)$ for some left boundary space X and right boundary space Y.*
6. *Let q be in l.c.c. at a or b and $E\in\mathbb{R}$. Then every left or right boundary space is respectively equal to $\mathcal{D}_{q,f}^l$ or $\mathcal{D}_{q,f}^r$ for some $f\in S_{q,E}^l$ or $f\in S_{q,E}^r$.*

The operators of the form $L_q(X\cap Y)$, where X and Y are left and right boundary spaces, are called self-adjoint extensions of L_q with separated boundary conditions.

Remark 9. As mentioned above, boundary spaces can be thought of as self-adjoint boundary conditions. In this sense, the domain of $L_q(X\cap Y)$ consists of (the $\lambda_{a,b}$-equivalence classes of) all elements of $\mathcal{D}_q$ satisfying the self-adjoint boundary conditions X and Y on the respective left and right.

Remark 10. Let f and g be linear independent solutions of $l_{q,z}f=l_{q,z}g=0$, where $\operatorname{Im} z\neq 0$. Suppose f satisfies a self-adjoint boundary condition at a (i.e., belongs to some left boundary space). Let A denote the set of all $\zeta\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $g+\zeta f$ belongs to some right boundary space. Then A is either a one-point set or a circle depending on whether q is in the l.p.c. or l.c.c. at b. Moreover, A is the limit of the circles A_c obtained by replacing b with a regular endpoint $c\in(a,b)$ in the definition of A. Such a limit procedure was originally used by Weyl [2] to distinguish between the l.p.c. and l.c.c.

If q is in the l.p.c. at both a and b, then statement 1 in Proposition 8 implies that the operator $L_q(\mathcal{D}_q)$ is self-adjoint. In view of (30), it follows that L_q is self-adjoint.

For every $f\in\mathcal{D}_q^l$, we set

$$L_q^f=L_q(\mathcal{D}_{q,f}^l\cap\mathcal{D}_q^r). \tag{32}$$

Lemma 11. *Let $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and q be in the l.c.c. at a and in the l.p.c. at b. Then the self-adjoint extensions of L_q are precisely the operators L_q^f, where $f \in S^l_{q,E}$. For $f, g \in S^l_{q,E}$, the equality $L_q^f = L_q^g$ holds if and only if $g = cf$ for some real $c \neq 0$.*

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from statements 1, 3, 5, and 6 in Proposition 8. Let $f, g \in S^l_{q,E}$. If $g = cf$, then we have

$$\mathcal{D}^l_{q,f} = \mathcal{D}^l_{q,g} \tag{33}$$

by (31) and therefore $L_q^f = L_q^g$. Conversely, if $L_q^f = L_q^g$, then statements 2 and 3 in Proposition 8 imply equality (33). Because $f \in \mathcal{D}^l_{q,f}$ by (31), we conclude that $f \in \mathcal{D}^l_{q,g}$ and hence $W_a(g, f) = 0$. Because $l_{q,z}f = l_{q,z}g = 0$, it follows that $W(g, f) = 0$, whence $g = cf$. $\square$

We now consider the eigenfunction expansions associated with L_q.

Let $O \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set. We say that a map $u\colon O \to \mathcal{D}$ is a q-solution in O if $l_{q,z}u(z) = 0$ for every $z \in O$. A q-solution u in O is said to be analytic if the functions $z \to u(z|r)$ and $z \to \partial_r u(z|r)$ are analytic in O for any $r \in (a, b)$. A q-solution u in O is said to be nonvanishing if $u(z) \neq 0$ for every $z \in O$ and is said to be real if $u(E)$ is real for every $E \in O \cap \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 12. A triple (q, Y, u) is called an expansion triple if q is a real locally integrable function on (a, b), Y is a right boundary space, and u is a real nonvanishing analytic q-solution in $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $u(z) \in \mathcal{D}^l_q$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and
2. there exists $E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $W_a(u(E), u(z)) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 13. *Let $\mathfrak{t} = (q, Y, u)$ be an expansion triple. Then there is a unique left boundary space $X^{\mathfrak{t}}$ such that $u(z) \in X^{\mathfrak{t}}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. For every $E \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $X^{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathcal{D}^l_{q,u(E)}$.*

Proof. Let $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and X be a left boundary space containing $u(E)$. By (31) and condition (1) in Definition 6, we have $X \subset \mathcal{D}^l_{q,u(E)}$. On the other hand, if $g \in \mathcal{D}^l_{q,u(E)}$, then we have $W_a(\bar{f}, g) = 0$ for every $f \in X$ because $\mathcal{D}^l_{q,u(E)}$ is a left boundary space by statement 3 in Proposition 8. In view of condition (2) in Definition 6, we conclude that $g \in X$ and hence $X = \mathcal{D}^l_{q,u(E)}$. This implies that $X^{\mathfrak{t}}$ (if it exists) is unique and equal to $\mathcal{D}^l_{q,u(E)}$ for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$. By (31) and Definition 12, there exists $E \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u(z) \in \mathcal{D}^l_{q,u(E)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This proves the existence of $X^{\mathfrak{t}}$. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{t} = (q, Y, u)$ be an expansion triple, $\tilde{u}$ be a real analytic q-solution in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $W(u(z), \tilde{u}(z)) \neq 0$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and v be a nonvanishing analytic q-solution in $\mathbb{C}_+$[9] such that $v(z) \in Y$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ (such $\tilde{u}$ and v always exist; see Lemma 2.4 in [8] and Lemma 9.8 in [14]). Then $W(v(z), u(z)) \neq 0$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ because we would otherwise have $u(z) \in X^{\mathfrak{t}} \cap Y$ and hence the self-adjoint operator $L_q(X^{\mathfrak{t}} \cap Y)$ would have an eigenvalue in $\mathbb{C}_+$. We define the analytic function $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}}_{\tilde{u}}$ in $\mathbb{C}_+$ by the relation

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}}_{\tilde{u}}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{W(v(z), \tilde{u}(z))}{W(v(z), u(z)) W(u(z), \tilde{u}(z))} \tag{34}$$

---

[9] As usual, $\mathbb{C}_+$ denotes the open upper half-plane of the complex plane: $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$.

(this definition is obviously independent of the choice of v). Following [8], we call such functions singular Titchmarsh-Weyl m-functions. Below, we see that it is sometimes useful to consider q-solutions $\tilde{u}$ that are defined on an open set $O \subset \mathbb{C}$ other than the entire complex plane. In that case, we assume that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}}_{\tilde{u}}$ is defined on $O \cap \mathbb{C}_+$.

Let $L_2^c(a,b)$ denote the subspace of $L_2(a,b)$ consisting of all its elements vanishing λ-a.e. outside some compact subset of (a,b). The next proposition gives a way of constructing eigenfunction expansions for self-adjoint extensions of L_q with separated boundary conditions.

Proposition 14. *Let $\mathfrak{t} = (q, Y, u)$ be an expansion triple. Then the following statements hold:*

1. *There exists a unique positive Radon measure σ on $\mathbb{R}$ (called the spectral measure for $\mathfrak{t}$) such that*

$$\int \varphi(E)\, \mathrm{Im}\, \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}}_{\tilde{u}}(E + i\eta)\, dE \to \int \varphi(E)\, d\sigma(E) \quad (\eta \downarrow 0)$$

   for every continuous function φ on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support and every real analytic q-solution $\tilde{u}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $W(u(z), \tilde{u}(z)) \neq 0$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
2. *Let σ be the spectral measure for $\mathfrak{t}$. There is a unique unitary operator $U\colon L_2(a,b) \to L_2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ (called the spectral transformation for $\mathfrak{t}$) such that*

$$(U\psi)(E) = \int_a^b u(E|r)\psi(r)\, dr, \quad \psi \in L_2^c(a,b),$$

   for σ-a.e. E.
3. *Let σ and U be the spectral measure and transformation for $\mathfrak{t}$, and let the left boundary space $X^{\mathfrak{t}}$ be as in Lemma 13. Then we have*

$$L_q(X^{\mathfrak{t}} \cap Y) = U^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\sigma}_{\iota} U,$$

   where ι is the identity function on $\mathbb{R}$.
4. *Let σ be the spectral measure for $\mathfrak{t}$, $O \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set, and $\tilde{u}$ be a real analytic q-solution in O such that $W(u(z), \tilde{u}(z)) \neq 0$ for every $z \in O$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathrm{supp}\,\varphi} \varphi(E)\, \mathrm{Im}\, \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}}_{\tilde{u}}(E + i\eta)\, dE \to \int_{O \cap \mathbb{R}} \varphi(E)\, d\sigma(E) \quad (\eta \downarrow 0)$$

   for every continuous function φ on $O \cap \mathbb{R}$ with compact support ($\mathrm{supp}\,\varphi$ *denotes the support of φ).*

Proof. Statements 1–3 are a straightforward reformulation of the corresponding results in [8] in the language of boundary spaces. Let O and $\tilde{u}$ satisfy the conditions in statement 4 and θ be a real analytic q-solution in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $W(u(z), \theta(z)) \neq 0$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Substituting $f_1 = u(z)$, $f_2 = v(z)$, $f_3 = \tilde{u}(z)$, and $f_4 = \theta(z)$ in (24) and dividing the result by $\pi W(u(z), v(z)) W(u(z), \theta(z)) W(u(z), \tilde{u}(z))$ yields

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}}_{\tilde{u}}(z) = \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}}_{\theta}(z) + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{W(\tilde{u}(z), \theta(z))}{W(u(z), \theta(z)) W(u(z), \tilde{u}(z))}$$

for any $z \in O \cap \mathbb{C}_+$. Statement 4 now follows from statement 1 because the last term in the right-hand side is analytic in O and real on $O \cap \mathbb{R}$. □

Corollary 15. *Let* σ *and* U *be the spectral measure and transformation for an expansion triple* $\mathfrak{t} = (q, Y, u)$*. Then we have*

$$(U^{-1}\varphi)(r) = \int u(E|r)\varphi(E)\, d\sigma(E), \quad \varphi \in L_2^c(\mathbb{R}, \sigma), \tag{35}$$

for λ*-a.e.* $r \in (a, b)$*. If* $\sigma(\{E\}) \neq 0$ *for some* $E \in \mathbb{R}$*, then* $[u(E)]$ *is an eigenfunction of* $L_q(X^{\mathfrak{t}} \cap Y)$*.*

Proof. Given $\varphi \in L_2^c(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ and $r \in (a, b)$, let $\check{\varphi}(r)$ denote the right-hand side of (35). By statement 2 in Proposition 14, we have

$$\langle \psi, U^{-1}\varphi \rangle = \langle U\psi, \varphi \rangle = \int d\sigma(E)\varphi(E) \int_a^b \overline{\psi(r)} u(E|r)\, dr = \int_a^b \overline{\psi(r)}\check{\varphi}(r)\, dr$$

for any $\psi \in L_2^c(a, b)$, whence (35) follows. In particular, we have $U^{-1}[\chi_{\{E\}}]_\sigma = \sigma(\{E\})[u(E)]$, where $\chi_{\{E\}}$ is the characteristic function of the one-point set $\{E\}$. By statement 3 in Proposition 14, this implies that $[u(E)]$ is an eigenfunction of $L_q(X^{\mathfrak{t}} \cap Y)$ if $\sigma(\{E\}) \neq 0$. ☐

Remark 16. While the above proof of Proposition 14 refers to [8], this result can also be easily derived using Kodaira's general approach [13] based on matrix-valued measures. Indeed, if we set $s_1(z) = \tilde{u}(z)/W(u(z), \tilde{u}(z))$ and $s_2(z) = u(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then the only nonreal entry $M_{22}(z)$ of the characteristic matrix M defined by formula (1.13) in [13] is equal to $\pi\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}}_{\tilde{u}}(z)$ and statements 1–3 in Proposition 14 hence essentially coincide with Theorem 1.3 in [13] in this case. The simple direct proof given in [8] employs a single m-function and does not involve matrix-valued measures. It essentially relies on the technique developed in [6], where potentials in the l.p.c. at both endpoints were considered (a treatment in the same spirit for the l.c.c. at one of the endpoints can be found in [16]). A similar approach to finding spectral measures was also proposed in [9] in the context of the Schrödinger equation with the inverse-square potential.

If q is locally square-integrable on (a, b), then formulas (28) and (29) imply that the space $C_0^\infty(a, b)$ of smooth functions on (a, b) with compact support is contained in $\mathcal{D}_q^0$ and L_q is an extension of $L_q(C_0^\infty(a, b))$. The proof of the next lemma is given in Appendix B.

Lemma 17. *Let* q *be a real locally square-integrable function on* (a, b)*. Then* L_q *is the closure of* $L_q(C_0^\infty(a, b))$*.*

3. EIGENFUNCTION EXPANSIONS FOR INVERSE-SQUARE POTENTIAL

We now assume that $a = 0$ and $b = \infty$ and apply the above general theory to the potential q_κ given by (5). It follows immediately from (4) and (27) that $\check{h}_\kappa = L_{q_\kappa}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+))$. In view of (6) and Lemma 17, this implies that

$$h_\kappa = L_{q_\kappa}, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{36}$$

The equation $l_{q_\kappa} f = 0$ has linearly independent solutions $r^{1/2\pm\kappa}$ for $\kappa \neq 0$ and $r^{1/2}$ and $r^{1/2}\log r$ for $\kappa = 0$. We conclude that by statement 4 in Proposition 8,

1. q_κ is in the l.p.c. at both 0 and ∞ for real κ such that $|\kappa| \geq 1$ and
2. q_κ is in the l.p.c. at ∞ and in the l.c.c. at 0 for $-1 < \kappa < 1$.

Hence, the operator h_κ is self-adjoint for $|\kappa| \geq 1$ and has multiple self-adjoint extensions for $-1 < \kappa < 1$.

For any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, let the map $u^\kappa\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be defined by (7). By (10), we have

$$l_{q_\kappa,z} u^{\pm\kappa}(z) = 0, \quad z, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{37}$$

In what follows, we systematically use notation (15) for the complex plane with a cut along a ray. We let log denote the branch of the logarithm in $\mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$ satisfying the condition $\log 1 = 0$ and set $z^\rho = e^{\rho \log z}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$.

For any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the map $v^\kappa\colon \mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2} \to \mathcal{D}$ by the relation

$$v^\kappa(z|r) = \frac{i\pi}{2} e^{i\pi\kappa/2} r^{1/2} H^{(1)}_\kappa(r z^{1/2}), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}_+,\, z \in \mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}, \tag{38}$$

where $H^{(1)}_\kappa$ is the first Hankel function of order κ. Because $H^{(1)}_\kappa$ is a solution of the Bessel equation, we have

$$l_{q_\kappa,z} v^\kappa(z) = 0 \tag{39}$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows from the relation $H^{(1)}_{-\kappa} = e^{i\pi\kappa} H^{(1)}_\kappa$ (formula (9) in Sec. 7.2.1 in [12]) that

$$v^{-\kappa}(z) = v^\kappa(z), \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{C},\, z \in \mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}. \tag{40}$$

The well-known asymptotic form of $H^{(1)}_\kappa(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \to \infty$ (see formula (1) in Sec. 7.13.1 in [12]) implies that

$$v^\kappa(z|r) \sim 2^{-1}\sqrt{\pi}(i+1) z^{-1/4} e^{iz^{1/2} r}, \quad r \to \infty, \tag{41}$$

for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$ and hence $v^\kappa(z)$ is right square-integrable for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Using the expression for the Wronskian of Bessel functions (formula (29) in Sec. 7.11 in [12]),

$$W_z(J_\kappa, H^{(1)}_\kappa) = \frac{2i}{\pi z}, \tag{42}$$

and taking (40) into account, from (7), (9), and (38), we derive that

$$W(v^\kappa(z), u^\kappa(z)) = z^{-\kappa/2} e^{i\pi\kappa/2}, \quad W(v^\kappa(z), u^{-\kappa}(z)) = z^{\kappa/2} e^{-i\pi\kappa/2} \tag{43}$$

for any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$.

Lemma 18. *Let $\kappa > -1$. Then $u^\kappa(z)$ is a nontrivial element of $\mathcal{D}^l_{q_\kappa}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and we have $W_0(u^\kappa(z), u^\kappa(z')) = 0$ for all $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Because $\kappa > -1$, it follows from (7) that $u^\kappa(z)$ is left square-integrable for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. In view of (37), this implies that $u^\kappa(z) \in \mathcal{D}^l_{q_\kappa}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. By (7), $u^\kappa(z)$ is nontrivial for $z \neq 0$ because otherwise $\mathcal{X}_\kappa$ would be identically zero. Because $u^\kappa(0|r) = 2^{-\kappa} r^{1/2+\kappa}/\Gamma(\kappa+1)$ by (7) and (8), we conclude that $u^\kappa(0)$ is nontrivial for $\kappa > -1$. By (7) and (25), we have

$$W_r(u^\kappa(z), u^\kappa(z')) = 2r^{2+2\kappa}(z'\mathcal{X}_\kappa(r^2 z)\mathcal{X}'_\kappa(r^2 z') - z\mathcal{X}'_\kappa(r^2 z)\mathcal{X}_\kappa(r^2 z'))$$

and hence $W_0(u^\kappa(z), u^\kappa(z')) = 0$ for all $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$. □

By (37), u^κ is a real analytic q_κ-solution in $\mathbb{C}$ for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. Because q_κ is in the l.p.c. at ∞, $\mathcal{D}^r_{q_\kappa}$ is a right boundary space for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. Definition 12 and Lemma 18 therefore imply that $\mathfrak{t}_\kappa = (q_\kappa, \mathcal{D}^r_{q_\kappa}, u^\kappa)$ is an expansion triple for every $\kappa > -1$. Let σ_κ denote the spectral measure for $\mathfrak{t}_\kappa$.

Lemma 19. *Let $\kappa > -1$. Then $\sigma_\kappa = \mathcal{V}_\kappa$, where $\mathcal{V}_\kappa$ is the measure on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by* (11)*.*

Proof. By (38), (39), and (41), v^κ is a nonvanishing analytic q_κ-solution in $\mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$ such that $v^\kappa(z) \in \mathcal{D}^r_{q_\kappa}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Let $\tilde{u}_1$ be the restriction $v^\kappa|_O$ of v^κ to the domain $O = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$. In view of (38), we have $\tilde{u}_1(E) = r^{1/2}K_\kappa(r\sqrt{|E|})$ for $E < 0$, where K_κ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order κ (formula (15) in Sec. 7.2.2 in [12]). Hence, $\tilde{u}_1(E)$ is real for $E < 0$. By (43), we have $W(\tilde{u}_1(z), u^\kappa(z)) \neq 0$ for all $z \in O$. Substituting $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_\kappa$, $v = v^\kappa|_{\mathbb{C}_+}$, and $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}_1$ in (34) yields $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}_\kappa}_{\tilde{u}_1}(z) = 0$ for all $z \in O \cap \mathbb{C}_+$. By statement 4 in Proposition 14, we conclude that σ_κ vanishes and hence coincides with $\mathcal{V}_\kappa$ on $(-\infty, 0)$. Let the map $\tilde{u}_2 \colon \mathbb{C}_\pi \to \mathcal{D}$ be given by $\tilde{u}_2(z|r) = r^{1/2}Y_\kappa(rz^{1/2})$, where Y_κ is the Bessel function of the second kind of order κ. We have $l_{q_\kappa,z}\tilde{u}_2(z) = 0$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}_\pi$ because Y_κ satisfies the Bessel equation, and $\tilde{u}_2$ is therefore an analytic q_κ-solution in $\mathbb{C}_\pi$. Because Y_κ is real for positive real arguments, $\tilde{u}_2(E)$ is real for $E > 0$. Because $H^{(1)}_\kappa = J_\kappa + iY_\kappa$, it follows from (42) that

$$W_z(J_\kappa, Y_\kappa) = W_z(H^{(1)}_\kappa, Y_\kappa) = -iW_z(J_\kappa, H^{(1)}_\kappa) = \frac{2}{\pi z}.$$

By (7), (9), and (38), we obtain $W(u^\kappa(z), \tilde{u}_2(z)) = 2z^{-\kappa/2}/\pi \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_\pi$ and $W(v^\kappa(z), \tilde{u}_2(z)) = ie^{i\pi\kappa/2}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. In view of (43), substituting $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_\kappa$, $v = v^\kappa|_{\mathbb{C}_+}$, and $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}_2$ in (34) yields

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}_\kappa}_{\tilde{u}_2}(z) = \frac{iz^\kappa}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Statement 4 in Proposition 14 therefore ensures that σ_κ coincides with $\mathcal{V}_\kappa$ on $(0, \infty)$. It remains to note that $\sigma_\kappa(\{0\}) = 0$ because otherwise $u^\kappa(0)$ would be square-integrable by Corollary 15. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1. It follows from statement 2 in Proposition 14 and Lemma 19 that the operator U_κ exists and is equal to the spectral transformation for $\mathfrak{t}_\kappa$. Statement 1 in Proposition 8, statement 3 in Proposition 14, formula (36), and Lemma 19 therefore imply that $U_\kappa^{-1}\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{V}_\kappa}_\iota U_\kappa$ is a self-adjoint extension of h_κ. For $\kappa \geq 1$, h_κ is self-adjoint and hence coincides with its self-adjoint extension $U_\kappa^{-1}\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{V}_\kappa}_\iota U_\kappa$. ☐

Remark 20. As mentioned in Sec. 1, the operator U_κ essentially coincides with the Hankel transformation. In [6, 8], where this transformation was treated similarly, the second solution $\tilde{u}$ used to calculate the spectral measure was required to be globally defined. This required distinguishing between integer and noninteger values of κ. Using a locally defined $\tilde{u}$ in the proof of Lemma 19 allows treating all values of κ uniformly.

Given $\kappa \in \mathscr{O}$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$, let the map $u^\kappa_\vartheta \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be defined by (12) and (13). Because (10) is satisfied for $u^\kappa_\vartheta(z)$ in place of $u^{\pm\kappa}(z)$ (see Sec. 1), we have

$$l_{q_\kappa,z}u^\kappa_\vartheta(z) = 0, \quad \kappa \in \mathscr{O}, \quad \vartheta, z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{44}$$

By (12) and (43), we have

$$W(v^\kappa(z), u^\kappa_\vartheta(z)) = \frac{z^{-\kappa/2}e^{i\pi\kappa/2}}{\sin\pi\kappa}(\sin(\vartheta + \vartheta_\kappa) - e^{-i\pi\kappa}z^\kappa\sin(\vartheta - \vartheta_\kappa)) \tag{45}$$

for all $\kappa \in \mathscr{O} \setminus \{0\}$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$. By Lemma 2, $\kappa \to W(v^\kappa(z), u^\kappa_\vartheta(z))$ is an analytic function in $\mathscr{O}$ for fixed ϑ and z and we can therefore find $W(v^0(z), u^0_\vartheta(z))$ by taking the limit $\kappa \to 0$ in (45). As a result, we obtain

$$W(v^0(z), u^0_\vartheta(z)) = \cos\vartheta + (i - \pi^{-1}\log z)\sin\vartheta, \quad \vartheta \in \mathbb{C},\ z \in \mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}. \tag{46}$$

For every $\kappa \in \mathscr{O}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$w^\kappa(z) = u^\kappa_{\pi/2+\vartheta_\kappa}(z), \tag{47}$$

where ϑ_κ is given by (14). It follows from (12) and (13) that

$$w^\kappa(z) = \frac{u^\kappa(z)\cos\pi\kappa - u^{-\kappa}(z)}{\sin\pi\kappa}, \quad \kappa \in \mathscr{O} \setminus \{0\}, \tag{48}$$

$$w^0(z|r) = \frac{2}{\pi}\left[\left(\log\frac{r}{2} + \gamma\right)u^0(z|r) - \sqrt{r}\,\mathcal{Y}(r^2 z)\right], \quad r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{49}$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$u^\kappa_\vartheta(z) = u^\kappa(z)\cos(\vartheta - \vartheta_\kappa) + w^\kappa(z)\sin(\vartheta - \vartheta_\kappa) \tag{50}$$

for all $\kappa \in \mathscr{O}$ and $\vartheta, z \in \mathbb{C}$. By (44) and (47), we have

$$l_{q_\kappa, z} w^\kappa(z) = 0, \quad \kappa \in \mathscr{O},\ z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{51}$$

Lemma 21. *Let* $-1 < \kappa < 1$. *Then* $u^\kappa(z), w^\kappa(z) \in \mathcal{D}^l_{q_\kappa}$ *for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$, *and*

$$W_0(w^\kappa(z), w^\kappa(z')) = 0, \quad W_0(u^\kappa(z), w^\kappa(z')) = \frac{2}{\pi}$$

for every $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Because q_κ is in the l.c.c. at 0 for $-1 < \kappa < 1$, statement 4 in Proposition 8 and equalities (37) and (51) imply that $u^\kappa(z), w^\kappa(z) \in \mathcal{D}^l_{q_\kappa}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Given $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $-1 < \kappa < 1$, we define a smooth function a^κ_z on $\mathbb{R}$ by setting $a^\kappa_z(r) = \mathcal{X}_\kappa(r^2 z)$, where $\mathcal{X}_\kappa$ is given by (8). For $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have $u^\kappa(z|r) = r^{1/2+\kappa} a^\kappa_z(r)$. In view of (25), it follows that

$$W_r(u^\kappa(z), u^{-\kappa}(z')) = rW_r(a^\kappa_z, a^{-\kappa}_{z'}) - 2\kappa a^\kappa_z(r) a^{-\kappa}_{z'}(r)$$

for every $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$. Because $a^\kappa_z(0) = 2^{-\kappa}/\Gamma(1+\kappa)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we obtain $W_0(u^\kappa(z), u^{-\kappa}(z')) = -2\sin\pi\kappa/\pi$. The statement of the lemma for $0 < |\kappa| < 1$ now follows from (48) and Lemma 18. Given $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the smooth function b_z on $\mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$b_z(r) = (\gamma - \log 2)\mathcal{X}_0(r^2 z) - \mathcal{Y}(r^2 z).$$

By (49), we have

$$\pi w^0(z|r)/2 = r^{1/2}\log r\, a^0_z(r) + r^{1/2} b_z(r)$$

for every $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In view of (25), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\pi}{2}W_r(u^0(z), w^0(z')) = rW_r(a^0_z, b_{z'}) + r\log r W_r(a^0_z, a^0_{z'}) + a^0_z(r)a^0_{z'}(r),\\
&\frac{\pi^2}{4}W_r(w^0(z), w^0(z')) = r\log^2 r W_r(a^0_z, a^0_{z'}) + r\log r(W_r(a^0_z, b_{z'}) + W_r(b_z, a^0_{z'})) +\\
&+ rW_r(b_z, b_{z'}) + b_z(r)a^0_{z'}(r) - a^0_z(r)b_{z'}(r)
\end{aligned}$$

for every $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$. Because $a^0_z(0) = 1$ and $b_z(0) = \gamma - \log 2$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, these equalities imply the required statement for $\kappa = 0$. □

In view of (37) and (51), Lemma 21 implies that

$$W(u^\kappa(z), w^\kappa(z)) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tag{52}$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $-1 < \kappa < 1$ (and hence for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\kappa \in \mathscr{O}$), and it follows from (50) that

$$W(u^\kappa_\vartheta(z), u^\kappa_{\vartheta-\pi/2}(z)) = -\frac{2}{\pi}, \quad \vartheta, z \in \mathbb{C},\ \kappa \in \mathscr{O}. \tag{53}$$

Let $-1 < \kappa < 1$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. By (44) and (53), u^κ_ϑ is a real nonvanishing analytic q_κ-solution in $\mathbb{C}$. In view of (50), Lemma 18, and Lemma 21, we have $u^\kappa_\vartheta(z) \in \mathcal{D}^l_{q_\kappa}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $W_0(u^\kappa_\vartheta(z), u^\kappa_\vartheta(z')) = 0$ for all $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$. Because $\mathcal{D}^r_{q_\kappa}$ is a right boundary space, it follows from Definition 12 that $\mathfrak{t}_{\kappa,\vartheta} = (q_\kappa, \mathcal{D}^r_{q_\kappa}, u^\kappa_\vartheta)$ is an expansion triple. Let $\sigma_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ denote the spectral measure for $\mathfrak{t}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$.

Lemma 22. *Let $-1 < \kappa < 1$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\sigma_{\kappa,\vartheta} = \mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$, where $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ is the measure on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by formulas* (16)–(21).

Proof. By (38), (39), and (41), v^κ is a nonvanishing analytic q_κ-solution in $\mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$ such that $v^\kappa(z) \in \mathcal{D}^r_{q_\kappa}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Let the meromorphic function $\mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ in $\mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$ be defined by the relation

$$\mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(z) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{W(v^\kappa(z), u^\kappa_{\vartheta-\pi/2}(z))}{W(v^\kappa(z), u^\kappa_\vartheta(z))}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}. \tag{54}$$

Substituting $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ and $v = v^\kappa|_{\mathbb{C}_+}$ in (34) and taking (53) into account, we conclude that $\mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ coincides on $\mathbb{C}_+$ with the singular Titchmarsh-Weyl m-function $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}_{\kappa,\vartheta}}_{\tilde{u}}$ for $\tilde{u} = u^\kappa_{\vartheta-\pi/2}$. By statement 1 in Proposition 14, we have

$$\int \varphi(E)\, d\sigma_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E) = \lim_{\eta\downarrow 0} \int \varphi(E)\, \mathrm{Im} \mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E + i\eta)\, dE \tag{55}$$

for any continuous function φ on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support. We note that $\sigma_{\kappa,\vartheta}(\{0\}) = 0$ because otherwise $u^\kappa_\vartheta(0)$ would be square-integrable by Corollary 15. It therefore suffices to show that $\sigma_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ coincide on the intervals $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$. This can be easily done using representation (55) for $\sigma_{\kappa,\vartheta}$. Because the explicit expressions for $\mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ differ for $0 < |\kappa| < 1$ and $\kappa = 0$, we consider these cases separately.

1. The case $0 < |\kappa| < 1$: In view of (45) and (54), we have

$$\mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\cos(\vartheta + \vartheta_\kappa) - e^{-i\pi\kappa} z^\kappa \cos(\vartheta - \vartheta_\kappa)}{\sin(\vartheta + \vartheta_\kappa) - e^{-i\pi\kappa} z^\kappa \sin(\vartheta - \vartheta_\kappa)}. \tag{56}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ has no singularities on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$\mathrm{Im}\, \mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sin^2 \pi\kappa}{E^{-\kappa}\sin^2\vartheta_+ - 2\cos\pi\kappa \sin\vartheta_+ \sin\vartheta_- + E^\kappa \sin^2\vartheta_-}, \quad E > 0,$$

where $\vartheta_\pm = \vartheta \pm \vartheta_\kappa$. By (16), (17), and (55), we conclude that $\sigma_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ coincides with $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ on $(0, \infty)$. For $\vartheta \in [-|\vartheta_\kappa|, |\vartheta_\kappa|] + \pi\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ is real on $(-\infty, 0)$ and has no singularities on this set. Formula (55) therefore implies that $\sigma_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ is zero on $(-\infty, 0)$ for such ϑ. If $\vartheta \in (|\vartheta_\kappa|, \pi - |\vartheta_\kappa|) + \pi\mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\theta}$ has a simple pole at the point $E_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ given by (18) and, hence, is representable in the form

$$\mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(z) = g(z) + \frac{A}{E_{\kappa,\vartheta} - z},$$

where g is a function analytic in $\mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$ and real on $(-\infty, 0)$ and

$$A = \lim_{z \to E_{\kappa,\vartheta}} (E_{\kappa,\vartheta} - z)\mathscr{M}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(z) = \frac{\sin \pi\kappa |E_{\kappa,\vartheta}|}{2\kappa \sin(\vartheta + \vartheta_\kappa)\sin(\vartheta - \vartheta_\kappa)}.$$

It therefore follows from (55) that $\sigma_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ is equal to $\pi A \delta_{E_{\kappa,\vartheta}}$ on $(-\infty, 0)$. Hence, $\sigma_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ coincides with $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ on $(-\infty, 0)$ for all ϑ.

2. The case $\kappa = 0$: In view of (46) and (54), we have

$$\mathscr{M}_{0,\vartheta}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\, \frac{(i - \pi^{-1}\log z)\cos\vartheta - \sin\vartheta}{\cos\vartheta + (i - \pi^{-1}\log z)\sin\vartheta}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathscr{M}_{0,\vartheta}$ has no singularities on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathscr{M}_{0,\vartheta}(E) = \frac{1}{2}\, \frac{1}{(\cos\vartheta - \log E \sin\vartheta/\pi)^2 + \sin^2\vartheta}, \quad E > 0.$$

By (19), (21), and (55), we conclude that $\sigma_{0,\vartheta}$ coincides with $\mathcal{V}_{0,\vartheta}$ on $(0, \infty)$. For $\vartheta \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathscr{M}_{0,\vartheta}$ is real on $(-\infty, 0)$ and has no singularities on this set. Formula (55) therefore implies that $\sigma_{0,\vartheta}$ is zero on $(-\infty, 0)$ for such ϑ. If $\vartheta \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathscr{M}_{0,\theta}$ has a simple pole at the point $E_{0,\vartheta}$ given by (20) and is hence representable in the form

$$\mathscr{M}_{0,\vartheta}(z) = g(z) + \frac{A}{E_{0,\vartheta} - z},$$

where g is a function analytic in $\mathbb{C}_{3\pi/2}$ and real on $(-\infty, 0)$ and

$$A = \lim_{z \to E_{0,\vartheta}} (E_{0,\vartheta} - z)\mathscr{M}_{0,\vartheta}(z) = \frac{\pi |E_{0,\vartheta}|}{2\sin^2\vartheta}.$$

It therefore follows from (55) that $\sigma_{0,\vartheta}$ is equal to $\pi A \delta_{E_{0,\vartheta}}$ on $(-\infty, 0)$. Therefore, $\sigma_{0,\vartheta}$ coincides with $\mathcal{V}_{0,\vartheta}$ on $(-\infty, 0)$ for all ϑ. □

Proof of Theorem 3. It follows from statement 2 in Proposition 14 and Lemma 22 that the operator $U_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ exists and is equal to the spectral transformation for $\mathfrak{t}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$. Statement 3 in Proposition 14 and Lemma 22 therefore imply that $h_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ is equal to $L_q(X^{\mathfrak{t}_{\kappa,\vartheta}} \cap \mathcal{D}^r_{q_\kappa})$. By statement 1 in Proposition 8 and formula (36), we conclude that $h_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ is a self-adjoint extension of h_κ. In view of Lemma 13 and (32), we have

$$h_{\kappa,\vartheta} = L_{q_\kappa}^{u^\kappa_\vartheta(0)}. \tag{57}$$

By (50) and (52), every real $f \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfying $l_{q_\kappa} f = 0$ is proportional to $u^\kappa_\vartheta(0)$ for some $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 11 and formulas (36) and (57), it follows that every self-adjoint extension of h_κ is equal to $h_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ for some $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\vartheta, \vartheta' \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 11 and (57), we have $h_{\kappa,\vartheta} = h_{\kappa,\vartheta'}$ if and only if $u^\kappa_\vartheta(0) = c u^\kappa_{\vartheta'}(0)$ for some real $c \neq 0$. In view of (50) and (52), the last condition holds if and only if $\vartheta - \vartheta' \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$. □

Remark 23. We note that the function q_κ given by (5) is real not only for real κ but also for imaginary κ. A complete description of eigenfunction expansions in this case can be found in [9]. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{t}_{\kappa,\vartheta} = (q_\kappa, \mathcal{D}^r_{q_\kappa}, u^\kappa_\vartheta)$ remains an expansion triple for imaginary κ and the spectral measure for $\mathfrak{t}_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ can again be calculated using formulas (55) and (56). An analogue of Theorem 3 for imaginary κ can thus be obtained.

4. Continuity of spectral measures

In this section, we prove Theorem 4.

Let the continuous function Φ on $(-1,1)\times\mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by setting

$$\Phi(\kappa,E) = -\frac{\log E}{\pi\,\mathrm{sinc}(\pi\kappa)}\,\mathrm{sinc}\left(\frac{i\kappa}{2}\log E\right), \tag{58}$$

where the entire function sinc is defined by the equality

$$\mathrm{sinc}\,\zeta = \begin{cases} \zeta^{-1}\sin\zeta, & \zeta\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\},\\ 1, & \zeta = 0.\end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\Phi(\kappa,E) = \begin{cases} -\log E/\pi, & \kappa = 0,\\ (\sin\pi\kappa)^{-1}(E^{-\kappa/2}-E^{\kappa/2}), & 0<|\kappa|<1.\end{cases} \tag{59}$$

For every $\vartheta\in\mathbb{R}$ and $-1<\kappa<1$, we define the function $t_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ by the formula

$$t_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E) = 2+\Phi(\kappa,E)^2(1-\cos 2\vartheta\cos\pi\kappa)+\Phi(\kappa,E)(E^{-\kappa/2}+E^{\kappa/2})\sin 2\vartheta. \tag{60}$$

It follows from (17), (21), and (59) by a straightforward calculation that

$$d\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E) = t_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E)^{-1}\Theta(E)\,dE \tag{61}$$

for all $\vartheta\in\mathbb{R}$ and $-1<\kappa<1$. By the Cauchy–Bunyakovsky inequality, we have

$$|-c\cos 2\vartheta + d\sin 2\vartheta| \le \sqrt{c^2+d^2}$$

for any $c,d\in\mathbb{R}$. Applying this bound to $c=\Phi(\kappa,E)^2\cos\pi\kappa$ and

$$d = \Phi(\kappa,E)(E^{-\kappa/2}+E^{\kappa/2}) = \Phi(\kappa,E)\sqrt{\Phi(\kappa,E)^2\sin^2\pi\kappa+4},$$

from (60), we deduce that $t_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E)\ge f(\Phi(\kappa,E)^2)$, where $f(y)=2+y-\sqrt{y^2+4y}$, $y\ge 0$. Because

$$f(y) = \frac{4}{2+y+\sqrt{y^2+4y}} \ge \frac{2}{2+y},\quad y\ge 0,$$

we conclude that $t_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E)^{-1}\le 1+\Phi(\kappa,E)^2/2$ for all $E>0$, $-1<\kappa<1$, and $\vartheta\in\mathbb{R}$. By (58), the function $\kappa\to\Phi(\kappa,E)^2$ is even and increases on $[0,1)$ for every $E>0$. Let $0<\alpha<1$. In view of (59), it follows that

$$t_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E)^{-1} \le 1+\frac{1}{2}\Phi(\alpha,E)^2 \le \frac{1}{2\sin^2\pi\alpha}(E^{\alpha}+E^{-\alpha}) \tag{62}$$

for all $E>0$, $\vartheta\in\mathbb{R}$, and $-\alpha\le\kappa\le\alpha$. Let φ be a bounded Borel function on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support and $B=(-1,1)\times\mathbb{R}$. Because the function $(\kappa,\vartheta)\to t_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E)^{-1}\varphi(E)$ is continuous on B for every $E>0$, relations (61) and (62) and the dominated convergence theorem imply that $(\kappa,\vartheta)\to\int\varphi(E)\,d\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E)$ is a continuous function on B that is bounded on $[-\alpha,\alpha]\times\mathbb{R}$ for every $0\le\alpha<1$. Let

$$B' = \{(\kappa,\vartheta)\in B : \vartheta\in(|\vartheta_\kappa|,\pi-|\vartheta_\kappa|)+\pi\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It follows from (16) and (19) that

$$\int\varphi(E)\,d\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E) = \int\varphi(E)\,d\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\kappa,\vartheta}(E)+b_\varphi(\kappa,\vartheta),$$

where the function b_φ on B is defined by the relation

$$b_\varphi(\kappa,\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \tilde\Phi(\kappa,|E_{\kappa,\vartheta}|)\varphi(E_{\kappa,\vartheta}), & (\kappa,\vartheta)\in B', \\ 0, & (\kappa,\vartheta)\in B\setminus B', \end{cases} \tag{63}$$

and the continuous function $\tilde\Phi$ on $(-1,1)\times\mathbb{R}_+$ is given by

$$\tilde\Phi(\kappa,E) = \frac{1}{2}E\pi^2\operatorname{sinc}(\pi\kappa)\left(\Phi(\kappa,E)^2 + \frac{1}{\cos^2\vartheta_\kappa}\right).$$

For every $(\kappa,\vartheta)\in B'$, we have $|\cot\vartheta\tan\vartheta_\kappa|<1$, and it follows from (18) and (20) that

$$E_{\kappa,\vartheta} = -\exp\left[\frac{\pi\cot\vartheta}{2\cos\vartheta_\kappa}\operatorname{sinc}(\vartheta_\kappa)g(\cot\vartheta\tan\vartheta_\kappa)\right],$$

where g is a continuous function on $(-1,1)$ such that $g(y) = y^{-1}\log((1+y)(1-y)^{-1})$ for $y\neq 0$ and $g(0)=2$. Hence, $(\kappa,\vartheta)\to E_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ is a continuous function on B', and b_φ is therefore a Borel function on B. Estimating $\Phi(\kappa,E)^2$ as above, we obtain

$$\tilde\Phi(\kappa,E)\le \frac{\pi^2 E}{2\sin^2\pi\alpha}(E^\alpha+E^{-\alpha}),\quad (\kappa,E)\in[-\alpha,\alpha]\times\mathbb{R}_+, \tag{64}$$

for every $0<\alpha<1$. In view of (63), this implies that b_φ is bounded on $[-\alpha,\alpha]\times\mathbb{R}$ for every $0\le\alpha<1$. To complete the proof, it remains to show that b_φ is continuous on B if φ is continuous. Let $-1<\kappa<1$. It follows from (18) and (20) that $|E_{\kappa,\vartheta}|$ strictly decreases from ∞ to 0 as ϑ varies from $|\vartheta_\kappa|$ to $\pi-|\vartheta_\kappa|$. Hence, for every $E>0$, there is a unique $\tau_E(\kappa)\in(|\vartheta_\kappa|,\pi-|\vartheta_\kappa|)$ such that $|E_{\kappa,\tau_E(\kappa)}|=E$. The continuity of $E_{\kappa,\vartheta}$ in (κ,ϑ) implies that τ_E is a continuous function on $(-1,1)$ for every $E>0$. Let $\beta>0$ be such that $\varphi(E)=0$ for every $E\le-\beta$. Given $0<\alpha<1$ and $0<\delta$, we define the open subset $B_{\alpha,\delta}$ of B by setting

$$B_{\alpha,\delta} = \{(\kappa,\vartheta)\in(-\alpha,\alpha)\times\mathbb{R} : \vartheta\in(\tau_\delta(\kappa)-\pi,\tau_\beta(\kappa))+\pi\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

If $\delta<1$, then it follows from (63) and (64) that

$$|b_\varphi(\kappa,\vartheta)|\le\frac{\pi^2\delta^{1-\alpha}}{\sin^2\pi\alpha}\sup_{E\in\mathbb{R}}|\varphi(E)|,\quad(\kappa,\vartheta)\in B_{\alpha,\delta}.$$

Given $(\kappa,\vartheta)\in B\setminus B'$ and $\varepsilon>0$, we pick an arbitrary $\alpha\in(|\kappa|,1)$ and choose $\delta>0$ so small that the right-hand side of the last inequality is less than ε. Then $B_{\alpha,\delta}$ is a neighborhood of (κ,ϑ) where the absolute value of b_φ is less than ε. This proves that b_φ is continuous at every point of $B\setminus B'$. Because b_φ is obviously continuous on B', the theorem is proved.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 2

Let Log be the branch of the logarithm in $\mathbb{C}_\pi$ satisfying $\operatorname{Log}1=0$ and p be the analytic function in $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}_\pi$ defined by the relation $p(\kappa,r)=e^{\kappa\operatorname{Log}r}$ (hence $p(\kappa,r)=r^\kappa$ for $r\in\mathbb{R}_+$). Let G be the analytic function in $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}_\pi$ such that $G(\kappa,z,r)=p(1/2+\kappa,r)\mathcal{X}_\kappa(r^2z)$ for all $\kappa,z\in\mathbb{C}$ and $r\in\mathbb{C}_\pi$. We then have $G(\kappa,z,r)=u^\kappa(z|r)$ for all $\kappa,z\in\mathbb{C}$ and $r\in\mathbb{R}_+$. We define the function F on $\mathscr{O}\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}_\pi$ by setting

$$F(\kappa,\vartheta,z,r) = \frac{G(\kappa,z,r)\sin(\vartheta+\vartheta_\kappa)-G(-\kappa,z,r)\sin(\vartheta-\vartheta_\kappa)}{\sin\pi\kappa},\quad\kappa\in\mathscr{O}\setminus\{0\},$$

$$F(0,\vartheta,z,r) = G(0,z,r)\cos\vartheta+\frac{2}{\pi}\left[\left(\operatorname{Log}\frac{r}{2}+\gamma\right)G(0,z,r)-p(1/2,r)\,\mathcal{Y}(zr^2)\right]\sin\vartheta$$

for every $z, \vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r \in \mathbb{C}_\pi$. It follows immediately from (12), (13), and the definition of F that $F(\kappa, \vartheta, z, r) = u^\kappa_\vartheta(z|r)$ for every $\vartheta, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\kappa \in \mathscr{O}$, and $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The function $(\vartheta, z, r) \to F(\kappa, \vartheta, z, r)$ is obviously analytic in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}_\pi$ for every fixed $\kappa \in \mathscr{O}$. The function $\kappa \to F(\kappa, \vartheta, z, r)$ is analytic in $\mathscr{O} \setminus \{0\}$ and continuous at $\kappa = 0$ (this is ensured by the same calculation as used to find the limit in (13)) and is therefore analytic in $\mathscr{O}$ for every fixed $\vartheta, z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r \in \mathbb{C}_\pi$. Hence, F is analytic in $\mathscr{O} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}_\pi$ by the Hartogs theorem.

Appendix B. Proof of Lemma 17

Let $T = L_q(C_0^\infty(a, b))$. Because L_q is closed, it suffices to show that $T^* = L_q^*$. For this, we only need to prove that $D_{T^*} \subset D_{L_q^*}$ because L_q is an extension of T and T^* is hence an extension of L_q^*. By (30), $D_{L_q^*}$ consists of all elements $[g]$ with $g \in \mathcal{D}_q$. Therefore, for every $\phi \in D_{T^*}$, we must find $g \in \mathcal{D}_q$ such that $\phi = [g]$. Let $\psi = T^*\phi$. We then have

$$\langle T[f], \phi\rangle = \langle [f], \psi\rangle, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(a, b).$$

Because $(T[f])(r) = -f''(r) + q(r)f(r)$ for λ-a.e. $r \in (a, b)$, we obtain

$$-\int_a^b \overline{f''(r)}\phi(r)\, dr = \int_a^b \overline{f(r)}(\psi(r) - q(r)\phi(r))\, dr, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(a, b).$$

Because both q and ϕ are locally square-integrable on (a, b), the function $q\phi$ is locally integrable on (a, b). We choose $c \in (a, b)$ and define $h \in \mathcal{D}$ by setting

$$h(r) = \int_c^r d\rho \int_c^\rho (\psi(t) - q(t)\phi(t))\, dt.$$

We obviously have $h''(r) = \psi(r) - q(r)\phi(r)$ for λ-a.e. $r \in (a, b)$. Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int_a^b \overline{f(r)}(\psi(r) - q(r)\phi(r))\, dr = \int_a^b \overline{f''(r)}h(r)\, dr$$

and therefore

$$\int_a^b \overline{f''(r)}(\phi(r) + h(r))\, dr = 0, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(a, b).$$

This means that the second derivative of $\phi + h$ in the sense of generalized functions is equal to zero. Hence, there are $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi(r) + h(r) = Ar + B$ for λ-a.e. $r \in (a, b)$. Let $g \in \mathcal{D}$ be defined by the relation $g(r) = Ar + B - h(r)$, $r \in (a, b)$. Then we obviously have $[g] = \phi$. Because $g''(r) = -\psi(r) + q(r)\phi(r)$, we have $(l_q g)(r) = \psi(r) - q(r)\phi(r) + q(r)g(r) = \psi(r)$ for λ-a.e. $r \in (a, b)$ and therefore $l_q g = \psi$. This implies that both g and $l_q g$ are square-integrable and hence $g \in \mathcal{D}_q$.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to I.V. Tyutin and B.L. Voronov for the useful discussions.

REFERENCES

[1] Herrmann Hankel. Die Fourier'schen Reihen und Integrale für Cylinderfunctionen. *Math. Ann.*, 8(4):471–494, 1875.

[2] Hermann Weyl. Über gewöhnliche Differentialgleichungen mit Singularitäten und die zugehörigen Entwicklungen willkürlicher Funktionen. *Math. Ann.*, 68(2):220–269, 1910.

[3] Hermann Weyl. Über gewöhnliche lineare Differentialgleichungen mit singulären Stellen und ihre Eigenfunktionen (2. note). *Gött. Nachr.*, pages 442–467, 1910.

[4] E. C. Titchmarsh. *Eigenfunction expansions associated with second-order differential equations. Part I.* Second Edition. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1962.

[5] M. A. Naĭmark. *Linear differential operators. Part II: Linear differential operators in Hilbert space.* Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1968.

[6] Fritz Gesztesy and Maxim Zinchenko. On spectral theory for Schrödinger operators with strongly singular potentials. *Math. Nachr.*, 279(9-10):1041–1082, 2006.

[7] Charles Fulton. Titchmarsh-Weyl m-functions for second-order Sturm-Liouville problems with two singular endpoints. *Math. Nachr.*, 281(10):1418–1475, 2008.

[8] Aleksey Kostenko, Alexander Sakhnovich, and Gerald Teschl. Weyl-Titchmarsh theory for Schrödinger operators with strongly singular potentials. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2012:1699–1747, 2012.

[9] D. M. Gitman, I. V. Tyutin, and B. L. Voronov. Self-adjoint extensions and spectral analysis in the Calogero problem. *J. Phys. A*, 43(14):145205 (34pp), 2010.

[10] A. G. Smirnov. Reduction by symmetries in singular quantum-mechanical problems: General scheme and application to Aharonov-Bohm model. *J. Math. Phys.*, 56:122101, 2015.

[11] W. Norrie Everitt and Hubert Kalf. The Bessel differential equation and the Hankel transform. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 208(1):3–19, 2007.

[12] Arthur Erdélyi, Wilhelm Magnus, Fritz Oberhettinger, and Francesco G. Tricomi. *Higher transcendental functions. Vols. I, II.* McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1953. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman.

[13] Kunihiko Kodaira. The eigenvalue problem for ordinary differential equations of the second order and Heisenberg's theory of S-matrices. *Amer. J. Math.*, 71:921–945, 1949.

[14] Gerald Teschl. *Mathematical methods in quantum mechanics*, volume 99 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.

[15] Joachim Weidmann. *Spectral theory of ordinary differential operators*, volume 1258 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[16] Christer Bennewitz and W. Norrie Everitt. The Titchmarsh-Weyl eigenfunction expansion theorem for Sturm-Liouville differential equations. In *Sturm-Liouville theory*, pages 137–171. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.

I. E. TAMM THEORY DEPARTMENT, P. N. LEBEDEV PHYSICAL INSTITUTE, LENINSKY PROSPECT 53, MOSCOW 119991, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `smirnov@lpi.ru`